

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,791	08/18/2003	Ward Thomas Brown	A01422	3701	
21898	7590 02/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			LE, HOA T		
100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL	HIA, PA 19106-2399		1773		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/642,791	BROWN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	H. T. Le	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the contraction of the original transfer of the contraction of the contracti	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	1	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03 & 03/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/642,791

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DelDonno (US 5,191,029).

DelDonno describes an aqueous polymer composition comprising polymer particles having pendant phosphorous acid ester group. See col. 2, lines 40-45; col. 3, lines 35-38; col. 5, lines 20-22; col. 6, lines 23-28; col. 7, lines 1-3, 12-14; and col. 8, lines 10-12 and 20-22,. The most preferred pendant phosphorous acid ester group is the full-ester group as disclosed at col. 4, lines 17-27 (where R1 and R2 are alkyl or aryl).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bardman et al (US 6,756,051).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Bardman et al disclose a composite particle comprising titanium dioxide (i.e. inorganic) particles and polymer particles comprising phosphorous-functional groups. See col. 1, lines 8-17; and col. 5, lines 60 to col. 6, line 47. The phosphorous functional groups as exemplified at col. 6, lines 20-47 are phosphorous-acid ester polymers including both partial and full-ester groups. Therefore, Bardman discloses the claimed composite particles in which the all phosphorous-functional groups containing polymer particles are functionally equivalent regardless whether they contain phosphorous acid partial-ester or phosphorous acid full-ester groups. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary in the art to select polymer particles containing phosphorus acid full-ester groups as a matter of choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-8 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 None of the prior art references of record, singly or in combination, teach or suggest
 aqueous composition or composite particles containing two specific polymers having
 specific Tg and/or pendant groups as claimed.
- 7. References not relied upon are cited as art of interest.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,791

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773